

LAKESIDE COMMUNITY COUNCIL MEETING – November 2009

DATE: November 24, 2009 TIME: 7:00pm

PLACE: Lakeside Sewer District Meeting Room; 253 Bierney Creek Road in Lakeside

NOTICED: Submitted to DIL Daybook; Posters in the Post Office, Library, and Blacktail Grocery, and submitted to the County P&Z website “Calendar Events” page

AGENDA:

1. Call to order
2. Sign-in sheet
3. Approval of past meeting minutes:
 - a. September 29, 2009 (Secretary needs electronic copy)
 - b. October 27, 2009 (Secretary needs electronic copy)
4. Committee Reports or Guest Reports Requested by the Council (listed below, if any are scheduled)
 - a. None currently planned
5. Official county business items (listed below, if any are scheduled)
 - a. Michael Barry’s request for variance to the Lake & Lakeshore Protection regulations for a boat rail & shelter system at 381 Lakeside Blvd.
6. Procedural discussions or items (listed below, if any are scheduled)
 - a. Update contact roster for Lakeside Community Council.
7. Public Comment
8. Meeting adjourned

MINUTES:

Attendance:

- Council Members Attending: Brent Hall, Mike Wilson, Janet Heinze, Rex Boller, Keith Brown, Gregg Schoh, Barb Miller
- Council Members not in Attendance: none
- P&Z Staff Attending: Andrew Hagemeier, Bailey Iott
- Public: Jasmine Linabary, Debbie Spaulding, Mayre Flowers

1. Meeting called to order at 7:05pm
2. Sign-in sheet circulated.
3. Meeting minutes for 10/27/2009 approved: motion by Gregg; second by Janet; approval unanimous
4. LNPC: Next Committee meeting 11/30/2009; 6:30pm Lakeside Library – continued discussion and possible decision on land use designation with for Eagles Crest Phases 5-9.
5. Michael Barry’s application for variance to the Lake & Lakeshore Protection Regulations for a boat rail and boat shelter system at 381 Lakeside Blvd. (Note: Discussion is presented below by major points made and is not intended to represent specific speakers’ verbatim remarks.)
 - a. P&Z’s Bailey Iott presented the P&Z staff report, which recommended denial of the variance because no undue hardship exists, alternative implementations do exist, and, though granting the variance would not result in direct adverse impact to the lake, it will create a visual impact on the lakeshore as viewed from the lake.
 - b. Discussion:
 - i. Note that the rail application is handled separately by the County as an administrative variance and proceeds separately from this request for variance.

Approved meeting minutes for 11/24/2009 Lakeside Community Council meeting

- ii. 2,688 cubic feet of soil would be removed and decrease absorption of run-off.
 - iii. Alternative 1 offered: add removable or roll up canvass shades to the existing shore station. Seems viable, though shore states are not considered very attractive.
 - iv. Alternative 2 offered: move the shelter back 6.5 feet so it does not intrude into the Protection Zone. This would still require excavation of land in the Protection Zone because the bank is steep and a rail system to an underground shelter would have to cross this area and be excavated. A permit would be required, but a variance would not.
 - v. It was noted that this could be a precedent setting structure, resulting in many other such shelters along the lakeshore.
 - vi. There can be no doors on the shelter. Objection was expressed that this would basically be an 8x12x26 foot 'cave' in the banks of the Lake. Owner could do only minimum vegetation coverage because he would need the entrance to be free of obstruction to be able to move the boat to and from the lake. It would adversely impact the view of the shoreline from the lake. Even if Alternative 2 was considered and the shelter was moved back 6.5 feet, out of the Protection Zone, the impact to the view from the lake would still be the same.
 - vii. Objection was also raised for safety reasons: this 8x12 shelter 26 feet deep hole in the bank could be a hazard to animals and people – the Lakeside public park and swim beach is just south of this location.
 - viii. It was pointed out that the only consideration for the Council is the requested 6.5 foot variance to the Lakeshore Protection Zone.
 - c. Gregg motioned to deny the variance. Mike seconded the motion and the motion was carried by a vote of four (4) in favor of denial and two (2) against denial. Though the owner was attempting to preserve views, the precedence set could result in many other such structures – removing soil to absorb run-off and adversely impacting the view from the lake to the shore
6. Forms were circulated to council members to update their contact information. A roster will be created and sent out by the secretary.
7. Public Comment
- a. Mayre Flowers
 - i. Indicated she was trying to learn & understand procedures.
 - ii. From a public perspective:
 - 1. Must be clear and accessible info on meetings.
 - 2. It's indicated these were posted, but was there an agenda?
 - 3. Suggest using the County calendar to post the agenda
 - 4. She gave the Council members copies of selected State Regs regarding open communications.
 - iii. Suggested that public comment be at the end so that the public can comment on proceedings in the meeting.
8. Meeting adjourned 8:00pm.